FOOD LOSS AND WASTE REDUCTION AND RECOVERY, UNIVERSITY OF MAURITIUS

Elna Buys Department of Consumer and Food Sciences



UNIVERSITEIT VAN PRETORIA UNIVERSITY OF PRETORIA YUNIBESITHI YA PRETORIA

Faculty of Natural and Agricultural Sciences

Fakulteit Natuur- en Landbouwetenskappe Lefapha la Disaense tša Tlhago le Temo

Make today matter

The difference between

FOOD LOSS



FOOD WASTE

takes place at Production Postharvest Processing stages Distribution happens at **Retail** Consumption

#ZeroHunger



Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations **Inconsistency** in date labeling **contributes to misunderstanding** about how dates on labels relate to **food quality or safety**.





lft.org





Discarded food based on the sell by date.

Discarded food past the **use by date.**

Believe eating food past its **best by date** is a serious health risk.



lft.org

Oelofse, 2013

SA produces an estimated **9.04million tons** of food waste a year, creating food insecurity





Overview

- Shelf life estimation of RTE food products
- Evaluation of:
 - Shelf life of RTE food products in South Africa
 - Food safety implications of extended shelf life of RTE food products in South Africa
- Performance evaluation of tertiary predictive models for application in shelf life estimation



PHASE 1

Shelf life estimation and how growth of microorganisms impacting shelf life (using scenarios from New Zealand Guidance document, 2014) of four selected RTE products purchased at supermarkets in Hatfield, South Africa

RTE FOOD	SET SHELF LIFE	SHELF LIFE	SCENARIO	SCENARIO
PRODUCTS	(Days)*	ATTAINED	CATEGORY ^β	CATEGORY ATTAINED
		(Days) [♯]		¥
Pre-cut mango	4 (day 3)	12 (day 12)	3	3
Pre-cut papaya	4 (day 3)	6 (day 6)	2	1
Beef lasagne	3 (day 2)	4 (day 4)	1	1
Egg noodles	3 (day 2)	-	2	1

Shelf life set by FBO (indicates remaining shelf life after purchase), [#] Shelf life attained during study, ^β Scenario category selected before microbiological study, [¥] Scenario category attained during study





Microbial count and shelf life of pre-cut mango, pre-cut papaya, beef lasagne and egg noodles stored at 5°C for 6 and 12 days. A- TVC; B- LAB; C- *Pseudomonas* spp.; D- *Enterobacteriaceae*; E- Yeasts and Moulds; F-*Staphylococcus aureus*; G- *E. coli*

Phase 1 conclusions

- Conservative determination of shelf life by FBO a major cause of food waste
- Most RTE food products have longer shelf life compared to that estimated by the FBO
- Compromised shelf life mainly due to safety and not spoilage
 - Suggesting food safety management system issues
- Need for food producers to scientifically (using predictive modelling) determine shelf life of RTE food products. This will minimise risk of:
 - Unwarranted disposal of wholesome food
 - Consumers buying spoilt or unsafe food



Phase 2

Challenge test to observe the behaviour of relevant foodborne pathogens at low inoculum level of 3 log 10 cfu/g

and high inoculum level of 6 log $_{10}$ cfu/g in selected RTE food products as observed during storage for 12 days at ±



Growth potential (δ) result for the different relevant pathogens at low and high inoculum levels inoculated in selected RTE food products stored at ± 5°C for 12 days

Food Products & Pathogen	Storage period (Day)	Growth Potential (δ)*	
S. Typhimurium - 3 log ₁₀ cfu/g			
	Day 3	-0.61	
	Day 6	-2.74	
	Day 9	-2.74	
6 log ₁₀ cfu/g	Day 12	-2.74	
	Day 3	-0.97	
	Day 6	-1.75	
	Day 9	-1.78	
	Day 12	1 0 /	
	Day 12	-1 *4	
<i>L. monocytogenes</i> - 3 log ₁₀ cfu/g	Day 12	- 1 *0	
<i>L. monocytogenes</i> - 3 log ₁₀ cfu/g	Day 12 Day 3	0.35	
<i>L. monocytogenes</i> - 3 log ₁₀ cfu/g	Day 12 Day 3 Day 6	0.35	
<i>L. monocytogenes</i> - 3 log ₁₀ cfu/g	Day 12 Day 3 Day 6 Day 9	0.35 1.01 1.54	
L. monocytogenes - 3 log ₁₀ cfu/g 6 log ₁₀ cfu/g	Day 12 Day 3 Day 6 Day 9 Day 12	0.35 1.01 1.54 2.25	
L. monocytogenes - 3 log ₁₀ cfu/g 6 log ₁₀ cfu/g	Day 12 Day 3 Day 6 Day 9 Day 12 Day 3	0.35 1.01 1.54 2.25 0.69	
L. monocytogenes - 3 log ₁₀ cfu/g 6 log ₁₀ cfu/g	Day 12 Day 3 Day 6 Day 9 Day 12 Day 3 Day 6	0.35 1.01 1.54 2.25 0.69 1.43	
L. monocytogenes - 3 log ₁₀ cfu/g 6 log ₁₀ cfu/g	Day 12 Day 3 Day 6 Day 9 Day 12 Day 3 Day 6 Day 9	0.35 1.01 1.54 2.25 0.69 1.43 1.95	

• Growth potential calculated by difference of counts between day 0 and remaining storage period (days 3 to 12);

Day 0 represents the day of sample purchase (after pathogen inoculation); Day 3 represents the end of shelf life as indicated by FBO;
Day 12 represents end of storage period in this study

UNIVERSITEIT VAN PRETOR UNIVERSITY OF PRETOR VUNIBESITHI VA PRETOR

Growth potential (δ) result for the different relevant pathogens at low and high inoculum levels inoculated in selected RTE food products stored at ± 5°C for 12 days

Food Products & Pathogen	Storage period (Day)	Growth Potential (δ)*	
Beef lasagne			
L. monocytogenes - 3 log ₁₀ cfu/g	Day 3	0.84	
	Day 6	0.96	
	Day 9	1.55	
	Day 12	2.09	
6 log₁₀ cfu/g	Day 3	0.28	
	Day 6	0.90	
	Day 9	1.09	
	Day 12	1.13	
<i>E. coli</i> - 3 log ₁₀ cfu/g	Day 3	0.46	
	Day 6	0.22	
	Day 9	0.16	
	Day 12	2.38	
6 log ₁₀ cfu/g	Day 3	0.09	
	Day 6	0.17	
	Day 9	-0.1	
	Day 12	0.34	
Pre-cut mango			
E. coli - 3 log ₁₀ cfu/g	Day 3	-0.22	
	Day 6	-0.63	
	Day 9	-0.09	
	Day 12	1.10	
6 log ₁₀ cfu/g	Day 3	-0.09	
	Day 6	-0.15	
	Day 9	-0.45	
	Dav 12	-0.21	

Growth potential calculated by difference of counts between day 0 and remaining storage period (days 3 to

Day 0 represents the day of sample purchase (after pathogen inoculation); Day 3 represents the end of shelf life as indicated by FBO Day 12 represents end of storage period in this study

Phase 2 conclusions

- Shelf life of RTE food products can be extended with regards to behaviour of relevant pathogens
 - Salmonella Typhimurium: will not survive and will be inactivated in egg noodles (9 days extension)
 - L. monocytogenes and E. coli in beef lasagne (6 to 9 days extension)
 - L. monocytogenes in egg noodles (6 days extension)
 - E. coli in pre cut mangoes (9 days extension)
- Growth of pathogens, pose no food safety risk as it is slow (< 2 log increase) over shelf life extension
- Still important to highlight the risks involved in the consumption of RTE food products for consumer health, to raise consumer awareness and remind manufacturers to monitor hygiene during food production and storage
- Behaviour of pathogens generated growth data for *L. monocytogenes* and *E. coli* while non-thermal inactivation was generated for Salmonella Typhimurium.
 - Data used in comparing the predicted data generated from the next research chapter



Phase 3

 Data generated from *challenge test* studies to observe the behaviour of *L. monocytogenes* in RTE beef lasagne and egg noodles was compared with the data generated from software predictions.

Given Software:

- PMP
- ComBase
- MicroHibro
- FSSP



Growth curve of predicted versus observed data for the different types of software used for prediction of

L. monocytogenes growth at low (3 log 10 cfu/g) inoculum level in beef lasagne





Growth curve of predicted versus observed data for the different types of software used for prediction of



UNIVERSITEIT VAN PRETORIA UNIVERSITY OF PRETORIA YUNIBESITHI YA PRETORIA



UNIVERSITEIT VAN PRETORIA UNIVERSITY OF PRETORIA YUNIBESITHI YA PRETORIA

Growth curve of predicted versus observed data for the different types of software used for

prediction of *L. monocytogenes* growth at high (6 log 10 cfu/g) inoculum level in egg noodles



Performance evaluation of selected software predicting the growth of *L. monocytogenes* on beef lasagne and egg noodles under the same environmental conditions

Food product	Inoculation level	Indices of performance	Software			
Beef lasagne	3 log ₁₀ cfu/g		ComBase	PMP	MicroHibro	FSSP
		Уo	2.91	3.43	3.28	2.99
		y f	4.89	5.30	5.37	4.52
		μ_{max}	0.007	0.23	0.009	0.0122
	6 log ₁₀ cfu/g	У _о	5.91	6.14	6.07	5.99
		y _f	7.87	8.14	8.17	7.48
		μ _{max}	0.007	0.23	0.009	0.0122
Egg noodles	3 log ₁₀ cfu/g	У _о	2.22	3.43	2.62	2.87
		y _f	4.52	6.55	4.73	4.28
		μ_{max}	0.008	0.29	0.009	0.113
	6 log ₁₀ cfu/g	Уo	4.83	5.13	5.05	5.90
		У _f	7.12	8.17	7.29	7.29
		μ _{max}	0.008	0.29	0.009	0.113

 y_o – Initial cell count at day 0 predicted in log_{10} cfu/g; y_f –Final cell count at day 12 predicted in log_{10} cfu/g μ_{max} – Maximum growth rate predicted in log_{10} cfu/h



NIVERSITEIT VAN PRETORIA NIVERSITY OF PRETORIA UNIBESITHI YA PRETORIA

Phase 3 conclusions

- All software performed well with a fail-safe prediction
 - prediction of *L. monocytogenes* growth in beef lasagne and egg noodles
- Products do not pose food safety risk
 - Growth of the pathogens predicted to be faster
- Application for shelf life prediction of RTE food products by the South African food industry
- ComBase software had the best performance (prediction of *L. monocytogenes* growth in beef lasagne and egg noodles)
 - Software prediction was close to the observed
 - Software application will alleviate of food waste problem (conservative shelf life prediction)
- SMEs can make use of predictive microbiology models (software) to reduce food waste in various food types



Thank You



UNIVERSITEIT VAN PRETORIA UNIVERSITY OF PRETORIA YUNIBESITHI YA PRETORIA

WAY FORWARD

- There is a Difference between science of the idea and the product
- Expose students and woman entrepreneurs to the possibilities in food waste/loss/recovery
- Create network supporting initiatives not only one stakeholder
- Support students to translate the research into commercialisation
- Teach students how to pitch their ideas, passion
- Need for regular reports on initiatives re-assess initiatives



WAY FORWARD

- Infrastructure forms the basis for creativity and innovation
- Work towards Branding
- Encourage students, entrepreneurs, communities to find a partner someone to help push the idea through
- There must be mutual and visible respect between the research community and business community in this endeavour – each has a role



WAY FORWARD

- Be patient success takes time. Have a vision
- Academics do not have an entrepreneurial mind set partners, networks
- Think differently about how to use, implement knowledge
- Take risks!
- Specific ideas:
 - One session of food waste/loss/ recovery solutions in each subject this year students to brainstorm and present a solution
 - Final year projects to focus on this aspect for this year, must team up with community, entrepreneur
 - Develop food recovery SOP, CP decision tree

